

INFORMATION ON THE 2025 EXTRAORDINARY LOCAL ELECTORAL PROCESS FOR THE JUDICIARY OF THE STATE OF ZACATECAS FOR FOREIGN VISITORS

Name of the local electoral management body: Electoral Institute of the State of Zacatecas

- Website: www.ieez.org.mx
- Number of councils: 1 General Council, 0 District Electoral Councils and 58 Municipal Electoral Councils
- Composition of the highest directive body:

Total councillors	6
Women	4
Men	2

Main election-related responsibilities:

- ✓ To approve the ballot model, documentation and electoral materials, according to the provisions of the Federal Constitution, the Local Constitution, the General Law on Institutions and the Local Electoral Law, as well as the other provisions issued by the General Council of the National Electoral Institute;
- ✓ To approve the necessary guidelines or agreements to carry out the organisation, unfolding and tally of the election;
- ✓ To issue the budgetary policies of rationality, austerity and discipline applicable to the election:
- ✓ To carry out the election at the state level or by judicial district, in accordance with the territorial scope determined by the Administration Body of the Judiciary;
- ✓ To organise and carry out, where appropriate, discussion forums among candidates and establish the bases for the public, private, or social institutions to provide such free spaces, as well as to oversee their due implementation and the fair participation of all willing candidates;
- ✓ To establish the individual campaign expenditure ceilings applicable to each candidate:
- ✓ To guarantee the fair development of campaigns among candidates;
- ✓ To issue the necessary agreements for the fair dissemination of the proposals of candidates and to encourage citizen participation in the electoral process;
- ✓ To carry out the state count of the elections for the High Court of Justice, the Court of Judicial Discipline and Criminal Court and to publish the results; where appropriate, the district tally of the election of District First-tier Tribunals;

Characteristics of the state's electoral bodies



- ✓ To declare the validity of the election of the High Court of Justice the Court of Judicial Discipline, as well as of Criminal Court; where appropriate, the declaration of the district elections of the First-tier Tribunals;
- ✓ To issue the certificates of majority and assign the positions to the most-voted candidates in the elections of the High Court of Justice, the Court of Judicial Discipline, as well as Criminal Court, subsequently sending their results to the Local Judiciary and the State Congress;
- ✓ To issue the necessary general provisions, criteria, formats, agreements, guidelines, and regulations to enforce the legal powers of the Local EMB.

Name of the local electoral judicial body: Tribunal de Justicia Electoral del Estado de Zacatecas

Website: <u>www.trijez.mx</u>Number of courtrooms: 0

• Composition of the highest directive body:

Total number of judges	4
Women	3
Men	1

- Main election-related responsibilities:
 - ✓ To resolve all challenges filed under the Election Trial prior to the first ordinary period of sessions of the corresponding election year of the State Congress, when the elected candidates shall take oath of office before said legislative body.



•	High	Court	of Justice
---	------	-------	------------

Total	8
Women	4
Men	4

• Court of Judicial Discipline

Total	3
Women	2
Men	1

• Criminal Court

Elective offices

Total	18
Women	9
Men	9

• District First-tier Tribunals

Total	18
Women	9
Men	9

Electoral geography

	
Federal electoral districts	4
Local electoral districts	18
Electoral districts for Upper Tribunals	statewide
Electoral districts for Criminal Court	statewide
Electoral districts for District First-tier Tribunals	18

Candidate selection mechanism

• High Court of Justice Nominated by:

The Local Executive Branch
The Local Legislative Branch, and
The Local Judicial Branch



Candidate selection mechanism:

Article 96 of the Political Constitution of Zacatecas

- III. The Local Government Branches shall nominate a man and a woman for each elective office. The following will be observed during de assessment and selection of their applications:
- a) Public, open, transparent, inclusive and accessible mechanisms that allow the participation of all interested persons will be established. The applicants must meet the requirements established in this Constitution and in the laws, they must submit a three-page essay where they justify the reasons for their candidacy and present five letters of reference from their neighbours, colleagues or people who support their suitability for the elective office;
- b) Each Government Branch shall form an Evaluation Committee within the next ten days after the announcement of the official call for applications —made up of three renowned legal professionals— to receive the files of the applicants, assess their compliance with the constitutional and legal requirements and identify those best technically-evaluated and who have distinguished themselves for their honesty, good reputation, competence and academic and professional background.

The Evaluation Committees met, in turn, as the State Evaluation Committee, to develop guidelines to standardise the evaluation procedures and criteria those Evaluation Committees would abide to select the best-evaluated applicants;

- c) The Evaluation Committees of each branch shall compile a list of the best evaluated applicants —up to ten for each elective position of the High Court of Justice and the Court of Judicial Discipline, and up to six for each elective office in the cases of the other Courts and Tribunals— observing gender equality. Once the lists have been compiled, the Committees will send them to the corresponding government branch for approval and submission to the State Congress, and
- (d) The head of the Executive Branch will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective office; the Legislative Branch will, by simple majority, nominate a man and a woman for each elective office; and the Judiciary, by majority, will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective position.

The decision of the Committee and the nomination by the Government Branches is incontestable.

Incumbent judges must make their intention to stand for office known before the deadline for the registration of applicants.



The State Congress will include those incumbent judges in the lists handed to the local electoral management body, unless they decline to participate within the next thirty days or are nominated for a different judicial elective office or district.

Court of Judicial Discipline

Nominated by:

The Local Executive Branch
The Local Legislative Branch, and
The Local Judicial Branch

Candidate selection mechanism:

Article 96 of the Political Constitution of Zacatecas

- III. The Local Government Branches shall nominate a man and a woman for each elective office. The following will be observed during de assessment and selection of their applications:
- a) Public, open, transparent, inclusive and accessible mechanisms that allow the participation of all interested persons will be established. The applicants must meet the requirements established in this Constitution and in the laws, they must submit a three-page essay where they justify the reasons for their candidacy and present five letters of reference from their neighbours, colleagues or people who support their suitability for the elective office;
- b) Each Government Branch shall form an Evaluation Committee within the next ten days after the announcement of the official call for applications —made up of three renowned legal professionals— to receive the files of the applicants, assess their compliance with the constitutional and legal requirements and identify those best technically-evaluated and who have distinguished themselves for their honesty, good reputation, competence and academic and professional background.

The Evaluation Committees met, in turn, as the State Evaluation Committee, to develop guidelines to standardise the evaluation procedures and criteria those Evaluation Committees would abide to select the best-evaluated applicants:

c) The Evaluation Committees of each branch shall compile a list of the best evaluated applicants —up to ten for each elective position of the High Court of Justice and the Court of Judicial Discipline, and up to six for each elective office in the cases of the other Courts and Tribunals— observing gender equality. Once the lists have been compiled, the Committees will send them to the corresponding government branch for approval and submission to the State Congress, and



(d) The head of the Executive Branch will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective office; the Legislative Branch will, by simple majority, nominate a man and a woman for each elective office; and the Judiciary, by majority, will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective position.

The decision of the Committee and the nomination by the Government Branches is incontestable.

Incumbent judges must make their intention to stand for office known before the deadline for the registration of applicants.

The State Congress will include those incumbent judges in the lists handed to the local electoral management body, unless they decline to participate within the next thirty days or are nominated for a different judicial elective office or district.

Criminal Court

Nominated by:

The Local Executive Branch
The Local Legislative Branch, and
The Local Judicial Branch

Candidate selection mechanism:

Article 96 of the Political Constitution of Zacatecas

- III. The Local Government Branches shall nominate a man and a woman for each elective office. The following will be observed during de assessment and selection of their applications:
- a) Public, open, transparent, inclusive and accessible mechanisms that allow the participation of all interested persons will be established. The applicants must meet the requirements established in this Constitution and in the laws, they must submit a three-page essay where they justify the reasons for their candidacy and present five letters of reference from their neighbours, colleagues or people who support their suitability for the elective office;
- b) Each Government Branch shall form an Evaluation Committee within the next ten days after the announcement of the official call for applications —made up of three renowned legal professionals— to receive the files of the applicants, assess their compliance with the constitutional and legal requirements and identify those best technically-evaluated and who have distinguished themselves for their honesty, good reputation, competence and academic and professional background.



The Evaluation Committees met, in turn, as the State Evaluation Committee, to develop guidelines to standardise the evaluation procedures and criteria those Evaluation Committees would abide to select the best-evaluated applicants;

- c) The Evaluation Committees of each branch shall compile a list of the best evaluated applicants —up to ten for each elective position of the High Court of Justice and the Court of Judicial Discipline, and up to six for each elective office in the cases of the other Courts and Tribunals— observing gender equality. Once the lists have been compiled, the Committees will send them to the corresponding government branch for approval and submission to the State Congress, and
- (d) The head of the Executive Branch will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective office; the Legislative Branch will, by simple majority, nominate a man and a woman for each elective office; and the Judiciary, by majority, will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective position.

The decision of the Committee and the nomination by the Government Branches is incontestable.

Incumbent judges must make their intention to stand for office known before the deadline for the registration of applicants.

The State Congress will include those incumbent judges in the lists handed to the local electoral management body, unless they decline to participate within the next thirty days or are nominated for a different judicial elective office or district.

District First-tier Tribunals

Nominated by:

The Local Executive Branch
The Local Legislative Branch, and
The Local Judicial Branch

Candidate selection mechanism:

Article 96 of the Political Constitution of Zacatecas

- III. The Local Government Branches shall nominate a man and a woman for each elective office. The following will be observed during de assessment and selection of their applications:
- a) Public, open, transparent, inclusive and accessible mechanisms that allow the participation of all interested persons will be established. The applicants must meet the requirements established in this Constitution and in the laws, they must submit a three-page essay where they justify the reasons for their candidacy and present five



letters of reference from their neighbours, colleagues or people who support their suitability for the elective office;

b) Each Government Branch shall form an Evaluation Committee within the next ten days after the announcement of the official call for applications —made up of three renowned legal professionals— to receive the files of the applicants, assess their compliance with the constitutional and legal requirements and identify those best technically-evaluated and who have distinguished themselves for their honesty, good reputation, competence and academic and professional background.

The Evaluation Committees met, in turn, as the State Evaluation Committee, to develop guidelines to standardise the evaluation procedures and criteria those Evaluation Committees would abide to select the best-evaluated applicants;

- c) The Evaluation Committees of each branch shall compile a list of the best evaluated applicants —up to ten for each elective position of the High Court of Justice and the Court of Judicial Discipline, and up to six for each elective office in the cases of the other Courts and Tribunals— observing gender equality. Once the lists have been compiled, the Committees will send them to the corresponding government branch for approval and submission to the State Congress, and
- (d) The head of the Executive Branch will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective office; the Legislative Branch will, by simple majority, nominate a man and a woman for each elective office; and the Judiciary, by majority, will nominate two candidates —one man and one woman— for each elective position.

The decision of the Committee and the nomination by the Government Branches is incontestable.

Incumbent judges must make their intention to stand for office known before the deadline for the registration of applicants.

The State Congress will include those incumbent judges in the lists handed to the local electoral management body, unless they decline to participate within the next thirty days or are nominated for a different judicial elective office or district.



• High Court of Justice

Total	8
Women	12
Men	13

• Court of Judicial Discipline

Total	3
Women	4
Men	7

Number of candidates per elective office

• Criminal Court

Total	18
Women	22
Men	13

• District First-tier Tribunals

Total	18
Women	17
Men	18



• Gender equality in the nomination of candidates:

Election	Positive action
High Court of Justice	The Local EMB's General Council and the Municipal Electoral Councils will assign the elective offices to the most-voted candidates following the principle of gender equality. That is, all elective offices will be assigned alternately between women and men, starting with women.
Court of Judicial Discipline	The Local EMB's General Council and the Municipal Electoral Councils will assign the elective offices to the most-voted candidates following the principle of gender equality. That is, all elective offices will be assigned alternately between women and men, starting with women.
Criminal Court	The Local EMB's General Council and the Municipal Electoral Councils will assign the elective offices to the most-voted candidates following the principle of gender equality. That is, all elective offices will be assigned alternately between women and men, starting with women.
District First-tier Tribunals	The Local EMB's General Council and the Municipal Electoral Councils will assign the elective offices to the most-voted candidates following the principle of gender equality. That is, all elective offices will be assigned alternately between women and men, starting with women.

Participation of specific groups

• Positive Action for Indigenous People

Election	Positive action
High Court of Justice	_
Court of Judicial	_
Discipline	
Criminal Court	_
District First-tier	_
Tribunals	

• Positive Action for People with Disabilities

Election	Positive action
High Court of Justice	_
Court of Judicial	_
Discipline	



		1					
	Criminal Court		<u> </u>				
	District First-tier		_				
	Tribunals						
	Positive Action for Young People						
	Election		Positive action				
	High Court of Justice		_				
	Court of Judicial		_				
	Discipline						
	Criminal Court	_					
	District First-tier		_				
	Tribunals						
Positive action for people from the LGBTTTIQ+ population							
	Election		Positive action				
	High Court of Justice		_				
	Court of Judicial		_				
		Discipline					
	Criminal Court		<u> </u>				
	District First-tier		_				
	Tribunals						
Mechanisms for the candidates' campaigning	 Dissemination by the Local EMB Traditional mass media Social Media Interviews Use of written advertising 						
		Total registered voters	*1,286,460				
Number of voters		Women	667,341				
		Men	619,118				
		Other	1				
			* Update	ed to 11 April 2025			
	Total Polling		1,846				
Number of polling	Basi	С	1,768				
stations to be	Contigu	ious	60				
installed	Extraord	Extraordinary		0			
	Speci		18				



	Dadu	Colour	Size		
	Body High Court of Justice	Pink	Half Letter		
	Court of Judicial Discipline	Blue	Half Letter		
Ballot(s) for the	Criminal Court	Green	Letter		
local election	District First-tier Tribunals		Half Letter		
	District First-tier Tribunais	Orange	Hall Letter		
Use of electoral materials for persons with disabilities	 Materials for the visually impaired Materials for people with mobility impairments Other 				
Use of technological tools to cast/count the votes	System of Municipal Counting Sessions				
Counting procedure	 Preliminary count. There is no preliminary count. Vote Counting. Municipal Electoral Councils Recount. Jurisdictional Instance 				
	D. A.		In stance		
Bodies responsible	Body	0-	Instance		
for reporting the	High Court of Justice		neral Council		
official result of the	Court of Judicial Discipline Criminal Court		neral Council neral Council		
count	District First-tier Tribunals		neral Council		
	District First-tier Tribuniais	Ge	merai Councii		
Dispute Resolution for this Election	, ,				
Link to the state constitution	https://www.congresozac.gob.mx/65/ley&cual=333&tipo=pdf				
Link to the state's election laws	https://www.congresozac.gob.mx/f/todojuridico&cat=LEY				



Link to the specialised website for this election

https://ieez.org.mx/PE2025/PEEx2025/index.html